



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,423	04/19/2000	STUART A FRASER	CF/002	3987

1473 7590 06/18/2004  
FISH & NEAVE  
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
50TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105

EXAMINER

DASS, HARISH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3628

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/553,423

Applicant(s)

FRASER ET AL.

Examiner

Harish T Dass

Art Unit

3628

*ML*

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 38-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 38-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Original claims 2-3 are canceled.
2. Claims 4-37 are withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over May (US 6,317,727).

Re. Claim 1, May discloses applying a host qualification test to the participant, assigning qualification trading parameters to the participant based upon the host qualification test and the third-party qualification test and determining whether the party qualifies to trade in the electronic trading system [see the entire document particularly: Abs; figures 1-32; C6 L12-L62; C54 L63 to C55 L20]. May, explicitly, does not disclose applying a third-party qualification test to the participant. However, third-party qualification test is commonly done in real estate deals where the buyer is qualified by the underwriter (third party) for mortgage and the seller is qualified by the title search company (third party) for proper owner of the property and any out standing issues against the property. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify disclosure of May and add third party qualification to verify the seller for owning the property without

Art Unit: 3628

outstanding issues and buyers having proper down payment, ability to pay mortgage, for an amount he/she is applying and interest rate and years, for yet to purchase property. Further, when the buyer is qualified for a mortgage loan it does not need to apply again.

Re. Claim 38, May, explicitly, does not disclose bypassing the third party qualification test when the participant passes the host qualification test. However, this step is common in real estate where the third party qualification is bypassed when the buyer pays substantial amount of down payment. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify disclosure of May and add bypassing third party qualification to make the qualification easy for the buyer because the buyer down payment is enough to take the risk.

Re. Claim 39, May discloses a measure of a number of trades made by the participant, and a measure of an amount of trades made by the participant, and a measure of volatility in a market in which the participant is participating, and a measure of a range of prices in the market in which the participant is participating, and a measure of volume in the market in which the participant is participating [Abs; C1 L50 to C2 L65].

Re. Claim 40, May discloses applying to the participant a qualification test that evaluates whether the participant qualifies to trade with a second participant that is qualified to trade with a third participant, and determining that the participant qualifies to

Art Unit: 3628

trade in the electronic trading system with the third participant based upon the participant qualifying to trade with the second participant (by the other) [C56 L54-60]. Further, in currency trade only larger banks and financial institutions are able to do cross boarder currency trade because of money laundering and regulations, smaller banks have to trade through larger banks whom qualifies them to buy and sell foreign currency to end parties as an agent or third party.

Re. Claim 41-42, are rejected with same rational as claim 1.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T Dass whose telephone number is 703-305-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Harish T Dass  
Examiner  
Art Unit 3628

*Frantz*  
FRANTZY POINVIL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*Art 3628*